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ABOUT THE SURVEY

The nonprofit sector employs on average 12.9 million full- and part-time employees nationwide. This is approximately 10 percent of the nation’s workforce. From executive directors, to fundraising and development specialists, to volunteer managers the sector offers a diversity of emerging and innovative career opportunities. Recent news headlines state “nonprofits weathering economic storm” and “nonprofit employment up despite recession.”

The 2011 national Nonprofit Employment Trends Survey™ is intended to provide a snapshot of current employment practices and discuss the economic trends and implications of employment practices in the sector. This report, which has been produced annually by Nonprofit HR Solutions since 2007, includes responses from more than 450 nonprofits nationwide.

In this year’s survey researchers collected information on nonprofit staffing, recruitment, and retention practices, focusing on four key areas:

- **Staff Size and Projected Growth**
- **Recruitment Strategies and Budgeting**
- **Staffing Challenges**
- **Staffing Resource Management**

We thank all of the respondents for their participation in this study. A partial list of participating organizations, along with a demographic profile, can be found in Appendix B and C of this report.

SUMMARY OF KEY FINDINGS & IMPLICATIONS

1. **Although the HR function is viewed as critical within many organizations, it still remains a low priority for most organizations.** Eighty-four percent of nonprofit respondents agreed that the HR function is critical to their organization’s ability to fulfill its mission, yet few prioritize the HR function within their organizations. The majority of respondent organizations (52 percent) do not have a dedicated HR professional and 55 percent rely upon existing staff to handle new programs and or initiatives.

2. **Nearly a quarter of nonprofits lost staff in 2010.** However 60 percent of those organizations indicated that they intend to hire or are considering the creation of new positions in 2011. This finding was consistent irrespective of the size of the organization.

3. **When nonprofits lay off staff, 81 percent report using existing staff to fulfill the duties of the eliminated position.** This is a worrisome trend as it could lead to burnout and premature turnover.

4. **Turnover remains low.** Economic improvements are not being realized as quickly as anticipated. Findings from this year’s survey revealed that turnover remains low within nonprofit organizations. Turnover rates for respondent organizations were calculated at 13 percent compared to last year’s rate of 21 percent. This low turnover rate is an indication that the economy might not be improving as quickly for nonprofits as it is for other sectors.

5. **It’s still “who you know” when it comes to nonprofit recruitment.** Nonprofits primarily use in person networking and newspapers to recruit job candidates. This is surprising considering the rapid growth and affordability of social networking tools over the past few years. This practice also has the potential to negatively impact diversity efforts.

---

STAFF SIZE AND PROJECTED GROWTH

Staff Size and Growth Findings 2010

When asked about actual changes in staff size in 2010, 34 percent of nonprofits surveyed said their staff size increased, 42 percent saw no changes in staff size, and 24 percent experienced a decrease in staff size. These percentages are better than predictions for staff size changes that were reported in the 2010 Nonprofit Employment Trends Survey (Figure 1). In that survey 28 percent of nonprofits anticipated that their staff size would likely increase; 35 percent anticipated that they would likely see no changes at all; and 37 percent anticipated that their staff size would likely decrease. Nonprofit staff size fared better in 2010 than expected.

Nonprofits also reported promising information about salary and benefit changes in 2010. Fifty-seven percent reported salaries increased in 2010; 40 percent reported that salaries stayed the same; and three percent reported salaries decreased.

Similarly, 13 percent of nonprofits indicated benefits increased in 2010, 74 percent of nonprofits reported benefits stayed the same, 13 percent reported that benefits decreased.

Fifty-eight percent of nonprofits surveyed created new positions in 2010, with the median number of positions created being three and 41 percent of nonprofits surveyed eliminated positions; the median number of positions eliminated was three.

Figure 1: Staff Size Predictions 2011

Staff Size and Growth Predictions 2011

Survey respondents were also asked about their staff size predictions for 2011, more specifically their intentions to create, freeze, eliminate, and/or gradually reduce positions in 2011. With regard to the creation of new positions, 33 percent indicated that they planned to create new positions, 27 percent indicated that they might create new positions, and 40 percent said that they did not plan to create new positions in 2011. The median number of positions anticipated being created in 2011 was two.

The majority of nonprofits in the 2011 survey do not plan to freeze hiring, reduce staff, or eliminate positions in 2011.

Further analysis of the data in Figure 1 indicates that the anticipated number of new positions is likely related to the size of the organization. Fifty-six percent of smaller organizations do not anticipate they will create new positions in 2011. However, 47 percent of larger organizations expect to create new positions.

4 There are three organizational size categories: small organizations were organizations with budgets less than 1 million dollars, mid-sized organizations were organizations with budgets 1,000,001 to ten million dollars, and large organizations were organizations over ten million dollars.
Loss of funding from government grants, and overall decline in operating budgets were cited as the top two reasons for eliminating positions in 2011. These findings were similar to the findings from the 2010 Nonprofit Employment Trends Survey. Small and mid-sized organizations anticipated they would be affected by an overall budget shortfall more than larger organizations. Figure 2 provides reasons for potential elimination of positions in 2011.

Nonprofits were asked how they re-assign job responsibilities when they eliminate staff positions; 81 percent reported using current staff while four percent reported using volunteers and interns. Interestingly, organization size did not affect this answer. These responses highlight the need to pay close attention to the potential impact of increased responsibilities on employee morale and burnout.

The organizations that planned to eliminate positions in 2011 were also asked about their intentions to offer any type of severance assistance to affected staff. Forty-two percent of organizations indicated that they planned to provide severance assistance, while 36 percent indicated that they did not plan to provide some sort of severance assistance, and 22 percent were unsure if they would offer severance. These findings are better than findings from the 2010 Nonprofit Employment Trends Survey, as offering severance assistance appears to be more of a priority for organizations this year.

Of the organizations that planned to provide severance assistance, the top three assistance options included cash assistance (99 percent), extended health care benefits (49 percent), and character and/or performance references beyond employment verification (46 percent). Surprisingly, organizational budget size did not affect these responses. Ninety-one percent of respondent organizations indicated severance would be based on length of service.

Figure 2: Reasons Positions May Be Eliminated In 2011

Figure 3: Area of Most Anticipated Job Growth in 2011
Areas of Anticipated Job Growth

It is our belief that direct services continues to be the largest area for anticipated growth as a result of the increased demand in services from the American public as they continue to be faced with the challenges of unemployment, job loss, foreclosures and other issues related to economic hardship and related stress resulting from having to live on less.

A greater proportion of mid-sized and large organizations expect job growth in 2011 versus small organizations. Growth is expected to largely be in direct services (35 percent). Respondents also predict continued job growth in program management/support (18 percent) and fundraising/development (16 percent). See Figure 3 on the previous page.

Employee Turnover

Nonprofits in this survey reported an average turnover rate of 13 percent in 2010. The bureau of labor statistics reported much smaller turnover rates by industry in 2010. Fifty-six percent of nonprofit respondents anticipate that their turnover rate will stay the same in 2011 as the previous year and 24 percent anticipate a lower turnover rate than the previous year. Of the organizations that anticipate a higher turnover rate in 2011, 79 percent predict it will be caused by voluntary terminations/resignations, 23 percent expect it will be caused by involuntary terminations, and seven percent retirements.

RECRUITMENT STRATEGIES AND BUDGETING

Recruitment/Staffing Strategies

Respondents were asked to indicate how they support new programs or initiatives. Figure 4 demonstrates that the vast majority (55 percent) of organizations use current staff to support new programs and/or initiatives. This finding was consistent with the 2010 Nonprofit Employment Trends Survey.

Increasing staff workloads often results in employee burnout, employee dissatisfaction, and higher turnover. As such, the negative implications of stretching existing staff too thin should not be disregarded. Also shown in Figure 4, 36 percent of organizations reported hiring new full-time or part-time staff.

Figure 4: Staffing Strategies Used to Support New Programs and/or Initiatives

5 Average turnover rate was calculated based on the number of employees who left the organization for any reason in 2010, divided by the average number of employees in 2010.
7 Percentages add up to more than 100 percent due to respondents being able to select more than one option for reasons of higher turnover.
Figure 5 examines staffing strategies used to support new programs or initiatives by organization size. Utilizing existing staff to support new programs was a common strategy of small organizations – 62 percent of small organizations compared to 48 percent of large organizations used current staff for this purpose. Large organizations were much more likely to hire new staff to support new programs compared to small organizations. Specifically 46 percent of large organizations hired new staff compared to 24 percent of small organizations. While this difference is notable, eleven percent more of small organizations reported hiring new staff to run new programs this year compared to small organizations that responded to the 2010 Nonprofit Employment Trends Survey. Although large nonprofits likely contribute to a significant volume of job creation, the rise in new hires within small nonprofits is a promising sign for today’s economy.

Respondents were also asked to reflect on vacancies at different position levels (i.e., Entry-Level, Mid-Level, Experienced, and Senior/Executive) and report, for each position level, the percentage of hires that come from people promoted within their organization, people from other nonprofit organizations, and people from outside the nonprofit sector. As depicted in Figure 6, respondent organizations reported that 50 percent of their organization’s Entry-Level vacancies were filled by people from outside of the sector. Mid-Level vacancies were most often filled by internal candidates or people from other nonprofits. Experienced vacancies were commonly either filled by internal candidates or people from other nonprofits.
Senior/Executive level vacancies on the other hand, were most often filled by candidates from other nonprofit organizations. However this finding did not necessarily apply to small organizations, as they were generally more likely to fill Senior/Executive level vacancies by promoting from within. Generally speaking though, while internal candidates may regularly be promoted to Mid-Level and Experienced positions, the overall trend suggests that most nonprofits will pull talent from other nonprofits to fill Senior/Executive positions. We consider this a positive sign in building experienced talent for the sector. This trend also suggests that opportunities for upward mobility and professional growth continue to be available within the sector for individuals at all levels.

**Recruitment Advertising & Budgeting**

Consistent with the findings from the 2010 Nonprofit Employment Trends Survey, only one-quarter of organizations reported having a formal annual recruitment budget. Organizations with larger operating budgets were much more likely than those with smaller operating budgets to designate money for the recruitment of new employees. Clearly, larger organizations find annual recruitment budgets to be more of a necessity than smaller organizations as such budgets support what is commonly a more complex and/or time-consuming recruiting process required by organizations with large staff sizes. According to U.S. Legal, Inc., “The bigger the business, the more complex it is likely to be; the hiring process will tend to reflect that.”

Of those nonprofits with formal recruiting budgets, 64 percent predicted that their recruiting budgets would remain unchanged in 2011, which is a 13 percent increase from what was forecast in 2010. Sixteen percent of respondents expected their recruiting budgets would increase in the following year, and 20 percent anticipated their recruiting budgets would decrease. Figure 7 demonstrates how these predictions are more optimistic compared to last year’s forecasts. These differences could indicate the positive effects of a stabilizing economy.

**Figure 7: If Your Organization Has a Formal Recruitment Budget, Next Year Will That Budget:**

- **Decrease**: 20% (2010), 39% (2011)
- **Increase**: 11% (2010), 16% (2011)
- **Remain Unchanged**: 51% (2010), 64% (2011)

**Recruitment Advertising Sources**

Various on-line, print, and in-person recruitment efforts are used by nonprofits, but some are more popular and effective than others. Respondents were asked to rank-order their five most frequently used recruitment advertising sources. Table 1 on the next page shows the five sources that were most regularly used by nonprofits.

---

8. This year’s Employment Trends survey indicates that the average number of people employed in 2010 by large organizations was nearly 300, compared to small organizations that employed an average of 29 people last year.
Table 1. Top 5 Recruitment Advertising Sources*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Type</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formal network of colleagues/nonprofits</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informal network of colleagues/friends</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newspaper (local online edition)</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craigslist</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newspaper (local print edition)</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ordered according to the number of top 5 votes received.

Formal network of colleagues/nonprofits received the most first and second place rankings, followed by Informal network of colleagues/friends and CraigsList.

To gain further insight into recruitment methods used by nonprofit organizations, recruitment-advertising efforts were also grouped by source category type (personal network, social networking, and web-based). For instance, as shown in Figure 8, of all top five usage ratings received by personal network sources, half were for formal networks and half were for informal networks. As Figure 9 illustrates, Facebook and LinkedIn received the most top five usage ratings of social networking sources. Local online edition newspapers and Craigslist received the most top five usage ratings of web-based sources (23 percent and 22 percent, respectively), as depicted in Figure 10. This information, which describes the popularity of sources relative to sources of similar types, may be useful comparative information for nonprofits looking to streamline their recruitment advertising processes.
Respondents also indicated their level of satisfaction with various sources for job position advertising. Satisfaction ratings were only collected for sources organizations typically used. Respondents were most satisfied with their formal and informal network of colleagues. Interestingly, although nonprofits only moderately used Idealist.org, on the whole, Idealist.org received notably high satisfaction ratings (84 percent of users were either very satisfied or somewhat satisfied).

Additionally, respondents were asked about the degree to which the use of social networking sites in recruiting advertising increased the quality of applicants, advertising costs, and the use of other online job boards.

As displayed in Figure 11, more than half said that candidate quality (54 percent) and the costs associated with recruiting advertising (53 percent) had been impacted very little or not at all. Likewise, 48 percent said that their organization’s use of other online job boards had been either very little or not at all affected by their use of social networking sites.

**Figure 11: The Extent to Which the Use of Social Networking Sites in Recruitment Advertising has Increased**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Not at All</th>
<th>Very Little</th>
<th>Somewhat</th>
<th>To a Great Extent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Use of Other Online Job Boards</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Costs Associated with Recruiting Advertising</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Quality of Candidates Applying</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**College Recruiting**

College campuses may be an untapped resource for many nonprofits looking to bring new talent into their organizations. A 2004 study conducted focus groups with nonprofit leaders to find that some felt they lacked the time to reach out to college networks, and only 30 percent of nonprofits recruited through college campus career centers.\(^\text{10}\) Similarly, the 2011 Nonprofit Employment Trends Survey found only 29 percent of nonprofits engage in on-campus recruiting. However, of those that rated their satisfaction with the quality of candidates found at on-campus job fairs, 64 percent said they were either somewhat or very satisfied. Few respondents reported being dissatisfied with the quality of candidates found on college campuses, suggesting that it may be worthwhile for nonprofits to spend time and resources recruiting potential employees from nearby universities.

The median amount of money spent annually on campus recruiting was $300. Compared to small organizations, large organizations were more likely to engage in and spend money on campus recruiting.

Referral Bonuses
Less than 20 percent of organizations offered referral bonuses, however, this tended to depend on operating budget size. Small nonprofits were much less likely to offer their employees referral bonuses. Specifically, only eight percent of small nonprofits used referral bonuses as part of their recruiting strategies, compared to 45 percent of large nonprofits that offered this incentive. Overall, the median amount paid by organizations per referral was $250, which was consistent with findings from last year’s Employment Trends survey.

STAFFING CHALLENGES

Maintaining Salary/Payroll Budgets
The economic crisis has taken its toll on the nonprofit sector. The greatest staffing challenge (Figure 12) indicated by survey respondents was maintaining salary budgets in the face of decreased annual revenues. This finding echoed last year’s survey. The other budget related staffing challenge, the ability of nonprofit organizations to attract qualified staff within a limited budget, was rated as the second greatest staffing challenge reported this year.

When considering the greatest challenges by budget size, small organizations, (44 percent), and medium organizations (32 percent) noted maintaining salary budgets with decreasing revenues as their number one challenge. A smaller percentage of large organizations (23 percent) cited maintaining salary budgets as their greatest staffing challenge. For large organizations, (25 percent) finding qualified staff was the greatest staffing challenge.

Filling Positions
Similar to last year’s Nonprofit Employment Trends survey findings, the more senior the position, the longer it takes to fill. Half of the respondents reported taking more than 91 days to fill Senior/Executive level positions (see Figure 13). Only 20 percent of respondents reported filling those positions in 60 days or less. Ninety-two percent of organizations reported filling Entry level positions in under 60 days. Again, consistent with the previous year, size of the organization did not significantly impact the time it takes to fill the various levels of positions.
Table 2. Length of Time to Fill Position Comparison to Previous Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position Type</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>Difference from ’10 to ’11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entry Level/Support</td>
<td>0-30 days (48%)</td>
<td>0-30 days (53%)</td>
<td>0-30 days (54%)</td>
<td>(\uparrow 1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>0-30 days (14%)</td>
<td>0-30 days (12%)</td>
<td>0-30 days (20%)</td>
<td>(\uparrow 8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>91+ days (26%)</td>
<td>91+ days (13%)</td>
<td>91+ days (16%)</td>
<td>(\uparrow 3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive</td>
<td>91+ days (55%)</td>
<td>91+ days (46%)</td>
<td>91+ days (50%)</td>
<td>(\uparrow 4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in Table 2, a higher percentage of organizations that responded to this year’s survey reported filling Entry level and Professional positions within 30 days. This indicates organizations are filling Entry level and Professional positions in less time than last year. A higher percentage of organizations that responded to this year’s survey are also taking over 91 days to fill Experienced and Senior/Executive level positions, indicating organizations are taking longer to fill these types of positions than last year.

**Hiring Qualified and Diverse Staff**

While the vast majority of respondent organizations believed diversity in all categories queried was important (ethnic, 88 percent; age, 80 percent; and gender, 82 percent), the greatest diversity challenge was balancing ethnic diversity (49 percent). Sixty-eight percent of responding organizations said that attracting qualified persons of color was their greatest ethnic diversity challenge.

Looking at how organizational views about the importance of balancing diversity differ among organizations of different budget sizes, over 25 percent of small organizations thought that age diversity was of little importance or unimportant, compared to 16 percent of medium organizations and 10 percent of large organizations. Similarly, 24 percent of small organizations thought gender diversity was of little importance or unimportant, compared to 17 percent of medium organizations and 10 percent of large organizations.

**STAFFING RESOURCE MANAGEMENT**

**Resource Allocation – Staff**

Consistent with previous Nonprofit Employment Trends Surveys, the majority of respondents (51 percent) said that the Director of Human Resources oversees the hiring process. Twenty-eight percent of respondents said the Executive Director oversees hiring.

Looking at organizational size, a high percentage of respondents from small organizations (67 percent) said the Executive Director oversees their hiring process, which is somewhat alarming, especially when compared to medium and large organizations. Only 23 percent of medium organizations and two percent of large organizations said the Executive Director oversees hiring. This is an area for concern for small organizations because hiring staff is a critical function and may be impacted by the Executive Director’s ability to divide his/her time between other key functions such as fundraising, program development, and overall management. Small organizations lacking a dedicated Human Resources position could consider outsourcing the HR function to an outside agency as a possible solution. Currently only three percent of respondents from small organizations outsource the HR function to a third-party vendor. Small nonprofits may not perceive value in using staffing firms/agencies,
or the perceived costs may exceed available resources. The data suggests that small nonprofits may not perceive value in using staffing firms/agencies, or the perceived costs may exceed available resources.

**Figure 14: Overseeing the Hiring Process**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Executive Director</th>
<th>Deputy Executive Director</th>
<th>Director of Human Resources/Administration</th>
<th>Director of Operations or Finance</th>
<th>Individual Department Managers/Directors</th>
<th>Other (Please Specify)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Resource Allocation – Time**

Respondents were asked how much time the individual who oversees hiring at their organization spends on employment/recruitment issues on a weekly basis. Interestingly, the majority of people who oversee hiring (70 percent) spend less than 20 percent of their time on employment/recruitment issues. This is surprising considering that salaries and benefits often represent the largest budget expense for most organizations and the appropriate investment in hiring qualified staff can significantly impact an organization’s ability to deliver on its mission effectively.

**Importance of HR Function**

Respondent organizations were also asked about the importance of the HR function. The majority of respondents (84 percent) agreed the HR function is critical to their organization’s ability to fulfill its mission and 86 percent of respondents noted that HR practices play a role in achieving the mission. Over half of respondents (59 percent) agreed that their organization’s mission informs decisions regarding HR matters such as employee training and pay.

**Managing the HR Function**

The 2011 survey indicates that the majority of respondents (52 percent) do not have a dedicated HR staff member; instead, one or more staff members, in addition to their other duties, manage the HR function. This arrangement is unsurprising considering the scarce resources allocated to staffing management and HR in general. Forty percent of respondents have one or more staff members dedicated exclusively to the HR function.

**Table 3. 2011 Staffing HR Function by Budget Size**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Small Organizations</th>
<th>Medium Organizations</th>
<th>Large Organizations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated staff member</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or more dedicated staff members</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One or more staff members manage in addition to other functions</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown by the table above, budget size appears to be related to the way in which staffing/HR functions are managed within nonprofits. Small and medium organizations
were much more likely to have one or more staff members managing the HR function in addition to their other duties. Large organizations were much more likely to have two or more dedicated staff members to manage HR functions.

**Conclusion**

As Dr. Lester Salamon of The Johns Hopkins University has written, “American nonprofit organizations have exhibited enormous resilience in the face of an extraordinary array of financial, competitive, accountability and legitimacy challenges over the past two decades.”

This survey shows the continuing resiliency of the sector as organizations work to meet their missions in the face of ongoing and significant challenges around staffing, their limited ability to attract and retain qualified diverse staff, and their often limited and under-resourced internal expertise in the area of human resources. While this survey underscores the need for nonprofits to become savvier with regards to the strategies they use to attract staff, the good news is that wide-scale layoffs seem to be leveling off and hiring appears to be increasing resulting in opportunities for professional growth within the sector continue.

**APPENDIX A. METHODOLOGY**

The Employment Trends Survey consisted of 58 questions consisting of multiple choice, rating scale, and open-ended questions. Respondents were asked to provide their organization’s demographic information and answer employment trends questions covering the following content areas:

- **Staff Size and Projected Growth**
- **Recruitment Strategies and Budgeting**
- **Staffing Challenges**
- **Staffing Resource Management**

In January 2011, The Caster Family Center for Nonprofit & Philanthropic Research electronically administered the survey through SurveyMonkey to organizations in Nonprofit HR Solutions’ mailing list, which consists of 16,000 nonprofits. The survey was also distributed to the Caster Center mailing list of 5,000 nonprofits and through social networks such as Facebook and twitter.

**Data Cleaning & Analysis**

Prior to analysis, the data were cleaned by Caster Center researchers. Non-501(c) organizations were removed from the dataset. Multiple responses originating from a single organization (as indicated by the existence of duplicate IP addresses and duplicate organization names) were closely examined by researchers to determine which survey response should be included in the analysis. When duplicate responses from a single organization were identified, researchers defaulted to keeping surveys that were more fully complete, filled out by higher-ranking employees (as indicated by the job titles of respondents), and/or those that consistently provided precise number responses rather than ballpark estimates. After the cleaning, a total of 456 responses remained.

The statistical software SPSS was used to analyze survey responses. Descriptive analyses were conducted using the complete dataset and also using the dataset split by budget size.

---

APPENDIX B. ORGANIZATIONAL DEMOGRAPHICS

Organization Type

The organizations that completed the survey represent a variety of organizations in the nonprofit sector. The sample moderately under-represents Human Service, Arts, and Education organizations. Figure 15 below depicts that most responding organizations were from the Human Services subsector.

![Figure 15: Organizations By Subsector](image)

Operating Budget Size

Of all responding organizations, 224 provided budget size information. The median budget size reported was $2,829,889. Small nonprofits were underrepresented in the sample, as Figure 16 depicts that two-thirds of respondents were from organizations with budgets over $1 million dollars.

For analysis purposes, organizations were grouped into one of three budget categories: small, medium, or large. Small organizations were defined as those with budgets of $1 million or less, medium organizations were those with budgets of $1,000,001 to $10 million, and large organizations were those with budgets over $10 million.

![Figure 16: Organizations by Budget Size](image)

---

Staff Size
The average staff size of responding organizations was 244 people, suggesting that the sample was skewed towards large organizations. When looking at staff size by organizational budget size, the average number of people employed by small, medium, and large organizations was approximately 29, 62, and 300, respectively.

Organization Location
The respondent organizations in this survey were representative of the national nonprofit sector by number of nonprofit organizations in each location (state/region). The chart below shows that when looking at number of nonprofit organizations by region, the employment trends data is practically the same as the national data on number of nonprofit organizations by region.

![Figure 17: Organizational Location by Region](image)

Race and Ethnicity
Respondents were asked to provide the approximate ethnic/racial composition of their staff. The composition of respondents’ staff was predominately white, as the median percentage of white staff was 80 percent. This demographic finding was consistent with the 2010 Nonprofit Employment Trends Survey, as well as national ethnic/racial composition data of nonprofit employees, and confirms the need for increased ethnic/racial diversity in nonprofit sector employees.

## APPENDIX C. LIST OF PARTICIPATING ORGANIZATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>Organization Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABVI-Goodwill</td>
<td>Esperanza Peace and Justice Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCESS Agency, Inc.</td>
<td>Evergreen Retirement Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocacy Services for Kids</td>
<td>Families &amp; Friends of Violent Crime Victims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African Continuum Theatre Co.</td>
<td>Family &amp; Children's Place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency for Instructional Technology</td>
<td>Family Alternatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS Legal Council of Chicago</td>
<td>Forum for Youth Investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska Community Development Corporation</td>
<td>Frederik Meijer Gardens &amp; Sculpture Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice Ferguson Foundation</td>
<td>Girl Scout Council of the Florida Panhandle, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions</td>
<td>Girl Scouts of Alaska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Academy of Dermatology</td>
<td>Girl Scouts of Eastern Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Association of Veterinary State Boards</td>
<td>Girl Scouts of Greater South Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Councils for International Education</td>
<td>Girl Scouts of Manitou Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Geophysical Union</td>
<td>Girl Scouts of Middle Tennessee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Inn's of Court Foundation</td>
<td>Girl Scouts of Nassau County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amnesty International USA</td>
<td>Girl Scouts of Northeast Kansas and Northwest Missouri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashland Area Chamber of Commerce</td>
<td>Girl Scouts of Orange County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIS International</td>
<td>Girl Scouts of Oregon and SW Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association of Children's Museums</td>
<td>Girl Scouts of the Missouri Heartland, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benetech</td>
<td>Girl Scouts of the Northwestern Great Lakes, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bible League International</td>
<td>Girl Scouts of Western Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOMI International</td>
<td>Girls Incorporated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Young Men's Christian Union</td>
<td>Girls Scouts of Greater Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boysville, Inc.</td>
<td>Glendale Healthy Kids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain Injury Assoc of MI</td>
<td>GLOW YMCA, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain Injury Association of Ohio</td>
<td>Good Samaritan Society, Ottumwa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Primary Care Association</td>
<td>Habitat for Humanity Greater San Francisco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Charities, Diocese of Joliet</td>
<td>Harlem RBI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cenacle Retreat House</td>
<td>Health Imperatives, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Disability Rights, Inc.</td>
<td>Heart of Brooklyn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Economic Progress</td>
<td>HeartLine, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for International Private Enterprise</td>
<td>Hi-Line Home Programs, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Nonprofit Excellence</td>
<td>Hospice &amp; Palliative Care of Northeastern Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Youth Centers</td>
<td>Hostelling International USA, San Diego Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christopher House</td>
<td>humane Society of Sarasota County, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Foundation for Northeast Michigan</td>
<td>Hydraulic Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Options, Inc.</td>
<td>ICMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CompTIA</td>
<td>Independent Sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut Association of Nonprofits, Inc.</td>
<td>Inglis Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORA</td>
<td>Insurance Council of Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronado Schools Foundation</td>
<td>Intermountain Children’s Home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danville Pittsylvania County Chamber of Commerce</td>
<td>International Association of Emergency Managers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware Center for the Contemporary Arts</td>
<td>International Youth Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Buen Samaritano Episcopal Mission</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IntraHealth International
Isabella Visiting Care, Inc.
Japan America Society of Southern California
Kansas Association of Homes and Services for the Aging
King County Bar Association
Latin American Community Center
LaunchAbility
Lawrence Hall Youth Services
Lexington Humane Society
Literacy Advance of Houston
Living Beyond Breast Cancer
Lutheran Social Services of Illinois
Lutheran Social Services of SD
Main Street Concord, Inc.
Maryland Academy of Sciences
Maryland Nonprofits
Metro United Way
Metropolitan Family Service
Michael & Susan Dell Foundation
Midtown Community Benefits District
Mohawk Hudson Humane Society
Montana Chamber of Commerce
Mother's Refuge
National Association of Trailer Manufacturers
National Coalition for Asian Pacific American Community Development
National Guard Association of Texas
National Shrine of Our Lady of the Snows
NKBA
Nontraditional Employment for Women (NEW)
North Texas Food Bank
NorthPointe Resources, Inc.
NYS School Boards Association
Ohio & Erie Canalway Coalition
Oncology Nursing Society
PathWays PA, Inc.
Personal Counseling Service, Inc.
Peterborough Retirement Community at Upland Farm, Inc., d/b/a RiverMead
Pima Council on Aging, Inc.
Prince of Peace Lutheran Church Burnsville
Project SHARE of Carlisle
Prosperity Media Enterprise, Inc.
Psychicians for Human Rights
Raintree Children and Family Services
Rancho Santa Fe Foundation
RBC Ministries
Richie McFarland Children’s Center
Richmond Volleyball Club
RMCC
SafeHaven of Tarrant County
SafePlace, Austin, TX
Savio House
Signal Centers, Inc.
Society of Biological Psychiatry
Sonoran Institute
Southside Community Health Services, Inc.
Southwest Michigan Land Conservancy
Swords to Plowshares
Taller Puertorriqueño
TASC, Inc.
The Association of Junior Leagues International, Inc.
The Center for Rural Development
The Coordinating Center
The Education Trust
The Endocrine Society
The Estates at Carpenters
The Midnight Mission
The New York Academy of Sciences
The SHARE Food Program, Inc.
The Village for Families and Children
TM Forum
UC San Diego Health System
United Neighborhood Centers of America
United Services
United Through Reading
United Way of Greater Topeka
United Way of the Midlands
US Lacrosse
Valley HealthCare System
Virginia Beach sPCA
VISIONS/Services for the Blind and Visually Impaired
VisitPittsburgh
Vita-Living, Inc.
Westbay Community Action
WestCare
Yellow Ribbon Fund
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